

Difference Sequence Spaces Defined by Musielak-Orlicz Function

Sunil K. Sharma, Patchalai Anuchaivong and Rohit Sharma

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this paper is to introduce sequence spaces $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_{\Lambda}^{\mu}, p), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]$ and $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_{\Lambda}^{\mu}, p), \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|]_{\theta}$. We also examine some topological properties and prove some inclusion relations between these spaces.

AMS Subject Classification: 40A05, 40B50, 46A45.

Keywords and Phrases: Orlicz function; Musielak-Orlicz function; Lacunary sequence; Difference sequence space; n -normed space.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of the difference sequence space was introduced by Kızmaz [1]. It was further generalized by Et and Çolak [2] as follows: $Z(\Delta^{\mu}) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\Delta^{\mu} x_k) \in z\}$ for $z = \ell_{\infty}$, c and c_0 , where μ is a non-negative integer and

$$\Delta^{\mu} x_k = \Delta^{\mu-1} x_k - \Delta^{\mu-1} x_{k+1}, \quad \Delta^0 x_k = x_k \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

or equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta^{\mu} x_k = \sum_{v=0}^{\mu} (-1)^v \binom{\mu}{v} x_{k+v}.$$

These sequence spaces were generalized by Et and Başaşıir [3] taking $z = \ell_{\infty}(p)$, $c(p)$ and $c_0(p)$. Dutta [4] introduced the following difference sequence spaces using a new difference operator:

$$Z(\Delta_{(\eta)}) = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : \Delta_{(\eta)} x \in z\} \quad \text{for } z = \ell_{\infty}, c \text{ and } c_0,$$

where $\Delta_{(\eta)}x = (\Delta_{(\eta)}x_k) = (x_k - x_{k-\eta})$ for all $k, \eta \in \mathbb{N}$.

In [5], Dutta introduced the sequence spaces $\bar{c}(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(\eta)}^\mu, p)$, $\bar{c}_o(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(\eta)}^\mu, p)$, $\ell_\infty(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(\eta)}^\mu, p)$, $m(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(\eta)}^\mu, p)$ and $m_o(\|\cdot, \cdot\|, \Delta_{(\eta)}^\mu, p)$, where $\eta, \mu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Delta_{(\eta)}^\mu x_k = (\Delta_{(\eta)}^\mu x_k) = (\Delta_{(\eta)}^{\mu-1} x_k - \Delta_{(\eta)}^{\mu-1} x_{k-\eta})$ and $\Delta_{(\eta)}^\circ x_k = x_k$ for all $k, \eta \in \mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta_{(\eta)}^\mu x = \sum_{v=0}^{\mu} (-1)^v \binom{\mu}{v} x_{k-\eta v}.$$

The difference sequence space have been studied by authors ([6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]) and references therein. Başar and Altay [16] introduced the generalized difference matrix $B = (b_{mk})$ for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, which is a generalization of $\Delta_{(1)}$ -difference operator, by

$$b_{mk} = \begin{cases} r, & k = m \\ s, & k = m - 1 \\ 0, & (k > m) \text{ or } (0 \leq k < m - 1). \end{cases}$$

Başarir and Kayıkçı [17] defined the matrix $B^\mu(b_{mk}^\mu)$ which reduced the difference matrix $\Delta_{(1)}^\mu$ incase $r = 1, s = -1$. The generalized B^μ -difference operator is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$B^\mu x = B^\mu(x_k) = \sum_{v=0}^{\mu} \binom{\mu}{v} r^{\mu-v} s^v x_{k-v}.$$

Let $\wedge = (\wedge_k)$ be a sequence of non-zero scalars. Then, for a sequence space E , the multiplier sequence space $E_\wedge$, associated with the multiplier sequence $\wedge$, is defined as

$$E_\wedge = \{x = (x_k) \in \omega : (\wedge_k x_k) \in E\}.$$

An Orlicz function M is a function, which is continuous non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Linderstrauss and Tzafriri [18] used the idea of Orlicz function to define the following sequence space

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in \omega : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \right\}$$

which is called an Orlicz sequence space. The space ℓ_M is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

It is shown in [18] that every Orlicz sequence space ℓ_M contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p ($p \geq 1$). The Δ_2 -condition is equivalent to $M(Lx) \leq KLM(x)$ for all values of

$x \geq 0$ and for $L > 1$. An Orlicz function M can always be represented in the following interval form

$$M(x) = \int_0^x \eta(t) dt,$$

where η is known as the kernel of M , is right differentiable for $t \geq 0$, $\eta(0) = 0$, $\eta(t) > 0$, η is non-decreasing and $\eta(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

A sequence $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ of Orlicz functions is called a Musielak-Orlicz function see ([19], [20]). A sequence $\mathcal{N} = (N_k)$ defined by

$$N_k(v) = \sup \{|v|u - M_k(u) : u \geq 0\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

is called the complimentary function of a Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathcal{M}$. For a given Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathcal{M}$, the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ and its subspace $h_{\mathcal{M}}$ are defined as follows:

$$t_{\mathcal{M}} = \{x \in \omega : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for some } c > 0\},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{M}} = \{x \in \omega : I_{\mathcal{M}}(cx) < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0\},$$

where $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a convex modular defined by

$$I_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k(x_k), \quad x = (x_k) \in t_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

We consider $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \{k > 0 : I_{\mathcal{M}}(x/k) \leq 1\}$$

or equipped with the Orlicz norm

$$\|x\|^{\circ} = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{k} (1 + I_{\mathcal{M}}(kx)) : k > 0 \right\}.$$

The concept of 2-normed spaces was initially developed by Gähler [21] in the mid of 1960's, while that of n -normed spaces one can see in Misiak [22]. Since then, many others have studied this concept and obtained various results, see Gunawan ([23], [24]) and Gunawan and Mashadi [25]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be linear space over the field $\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is the field of real or complex numbers of dimension d , where $d \geq n \geq 2$.

A real valued function $\|., \dots, .\|$ on X^n satisfying the following four conditions:

- (1) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ are linearly dependent in X ;
- (2) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under permutation ;
- (3) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and
- (4) $\|x + x', x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x', x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

is called an n -norm on X and the pair $(X, \|., \dots, .\|)$ is called a n -normed space over the field $\mathbb{K}$. For example, we may take $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ being equipped with the Euclidean

n -norm $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E$ as the volume of the n -dimensional parallelopiped spanned by the vectors $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_E = |\det(x_{ij})|;$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ and $\|\cdot\|_E$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed space of dimension $d \geq n \geq 2$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be linearly independent set in X . Then the following function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|_\infty$ on X^{n-1} defined by

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|_\infty = \max \{ \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$$

defines an $(n-1)$ norm on X with respect to $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$.

A sequence (x_k) in a n -normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to converge to some $L \in X$ if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - L, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0, \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

A sequence (x_k) in a normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is said to be Cauchy if

$$\lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ p \rightarrow \infty}} \|x_k - x_p, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0, \text{ for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X.$$

If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $L \in X$ then X is said to be complete with respect to the n -norm. Any complete n -normed space is said to be n -Banach space.

A sequence $x = (x_k) \in l_\infty$, the space of bounded sequence is said to be almost convergent to s if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{km}(x) = s$ uniformly in m where $t_{km}(x) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k x_{m+i}$ (see [26]).

By a lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $k_0 = 0$, we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $h_r = (k_r - k_{r-1}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined by θ are denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and the ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r . The space N_θ of lacunary strongly convergent sequences was defined by Freedman et al. [27] as follows:

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |x_k - L| = 0 \text{ for some } L \right\}.$$

By $[\hat{w}]_\theta$, we denote the set of all lacunary $[\hat{w}]$ -convergent sequences and we write $[\hat{w}]_\theta - \lim x = s$, for $x \in [\hat{w}]_\theta$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be a n -normed space and $w(n-X)$ denotes the space of X -valued sequences. Let $p = (p_k)$ be any bounded sequence of positive real numbers and $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function. In this paper, we define the following sequence spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] = \\
& = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w(n - X) : \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \right. \\
& \left. \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } s \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta = \\
& \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w(n - X) : \sup_m \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \right. \\
& \left. \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for some } s \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper. If $0 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H$, $K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq K\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\} \quad (1.1)$$

for all k and $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Also $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

The main goal of the present paper is to introduce $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$ and $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$. We also examine some topological properties and prove some inclusion relation between these spaces.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. Then $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$ and $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$ are linear spaces over the field of complex number $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. In order to prove the result we need to find some $\rho_3 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(\alpha x + \beta y) - s)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } s.$$

Since $x, y \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$ there exist positive numbers ρ_1, ρ_2 such that

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } s$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(y-s))}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } s$$

some s .

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is non-decreasing and convex

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(\alpha x + \beta y) - s)}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(\alpha x - s))}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(\beta y - s))}{\rho_3}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x - s))}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(y - s))}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& \leq K \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x - s))}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& + K \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{km}(B_\Lambda^\mu(y - s))}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } s.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\alpha x + \beta y \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$. This proves that $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$ is a linear space. Similarly, we can prove that $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$ is a linear space. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence with $\liminf q_r > 1$. Then $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] \subset [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$ and $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] - \lim x = [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x$.

Proof. Let $\liminf q_r > 1$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r > 1 + \delta$ and hence

$$\frac{h_r}{k_r} = 1 - \frac{k_{r-1}}{k_r} > 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \delta} = \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x - s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x - s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& \geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x - s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k}
\end{aligned}$$

and if $x \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$ with $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] - \lim x = s$, then it follows that $x \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$ with $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x = s$. $\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence with $\limsup q_r < \infty$. Then $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta \subset [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$ and $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x = [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] - \lim x$.*

Proof. Let $x \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$ with $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x = s$. Then for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists j_0 such that for every $j \geq j_0$ and all m ,

$$g_{jm} = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} < \epsilon,$$

that is, we can find some positive constant C , such that

$$g_{jm} < C \quad (2.1)$$

for all j and m , $\limsup q_r < \infty$ implies that there exists some positive number K such that

$$q_r < K \text{ for all } r \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore, for $k_{r-1} < n \leq k_r$, we have by (2.1) and (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k_r} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{i \in I_j} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & = \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^r \right] \sum_{i \in I_j} M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \left(\sup_{l \leq p \leq j_0} g_{pm} \right) k_{j_0} + \epsilon (k_j - k_{j_0}) \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \\ & \leq C \frac{k_{j_0}}{k_{r-1}} + \epsilon K. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$, we get $x \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$ with $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] - \lim x = s$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $1 < \liminf q_r \leq \limsup q_r < \infty$. Then $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] = [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$.*

Proof. It follows directly from Theorem 2.2. and Theorem 2.3. So we omit the details. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. Let $x \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] \cap [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$. Then $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] - \lim x = [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x$ and $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x$ is unique for any lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$.

Proof. Let $x \in [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] \cap [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta$. and $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x = s$, $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x = s'$. Suppose $s \neq s'$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} M_k\left(\left\|\frac{s-s'}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_n\right\|\right)^{p_k} &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M_k\left(\left\|\frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_n\right\|\right)^{p_k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M_k\left(\left\|\frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s'))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_n\right\|\right)^{p_k} \\ &\leq \limsup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M_k\left(\left\|\frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\right\|\right)^{p_k} + 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists r_0 , such that for $r > r_0$,

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M_k\left(\left\|\frac{t_{im}(B_\Lambda^\mu(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\right\|\right)^{p_k} > \frac{1}{2} M_k\left(\left\|\frac{s-s'}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\right\|\right)^{p_k}.$$

Since $[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] - \lim x = s$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \limsup \frac{h_r}{k_r} M_k\left(\left\|\frac{s-s'}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\right\|\right)^{p_k} \\ &\geq \liminf \frac{h_r}{k_r} M_k\left(\left\|\frac{s-s'}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\right\|\right)^{p_k} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so, $\lim q_r = 1$. Hence by Theorem 2.3.,

$$[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta \subset [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]$$

and

$$[\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)]_\theta - \lim x = s' = s = [\hat{w}(\mathcal{M}, B_\Lambda^\mu, p, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)] - \lim x.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_{\Lambda}^{\mu}(x-s))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n M_k \left(\left\| \frac{t_{im}(B_{\Lambda}^{\mu}(x-s'))}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& \geq M_k \left(\left\| \frac{s-s'}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

and taking the limit on both sides as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$M_k \left(\left\| \frac{s-s'}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| \right)^{p_k} = 0$$

that is $s = s'$ for Musielak-Orlicz function $\mathcal{M} = (M_k)$ and this completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] H. Kızmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981) 169-176.
- [2] M. Et, R. Çolak, *On some genelialized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. 21 (1995) 377-356.
- [3] M. Et, M. Başarir, *On some new genelialized difference sequence spaces*, Period. Math. Hung. 35 (1997) 169-175.
- [4] H. Dutta, *On some difference sequence spaces*, Proc. J. Sci. Technology 10 (2009) 243-247.
- [5] H. Dutta, *Some statistically convergent difference sequence spaces defined over real 2-normed linear space*, Appl. Sci. 12 (2010) 37-47.
- [6] M. Işık, *On statistical convergence of generalized difference sequences*, Soochow J. Math. 30 (2004) 197-205.
- [7] M. Mursaleen, *Generalized spaces of difference sequences*, J. Math. Analysis Appl. 203 (1996) 738-745.
- [8] M. Mursaleen, V. Karakya, H. Polat, N. Simşek, *Measure of noncompactness of matrix operators on some difference sequence spaces of weighted means*, Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011) 814-820.
- [9] M. Mursaleen, A.K. Noman, *On some new difference sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Math. Comput. Model. 52 (2010) 603-617.

- [10] M. Mursaleen, A.K. Noman, *Compactness of matrix operators on some new difference sequence spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012) 41–52.
- [11] K. Raj, S.K. Sharma, A.K. Sharma, *Some difference sequence spaces in n -normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function*, Armenian J. Math. 3 (2010) 127–141.
- [12] K. Raj, A.K. Sharma, S.K. Sharma, *A sequence space defined by Musielak-Orlicz function*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 67 (2011) 475–484.
- [13] K. Raj, S.K. Sharma, *Some sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function*, Acta. Univ. Sapientia Math. 3 (2011) 97–109.
- [14] M. Mursaleen, S.K. Sharma, A. Kiliçman, *Sequence spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function over n -normed spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2013, Article ID 364773, 10 pages.
- [15] K. Raj, S.K. Sharma, *Some multiplier sequence spaces defined by a Musielak-Orlicz function in n -normed spaces*, New Zealand J. Math. 42 (2012) 45–56.
- [16] F. Başar, B. Altay, *On the space of sequences of bounded variation and related matrix mapping*, Ukr. Math. J. 55 (2003) 136–147.
- [17] M. Başasir, M. Kayikçi, *On the generalized B^m -Riesz difference sequence spaces and β -property*, J. Inequal. Appl. (2009), Article ID 385029.
- [18] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *On Orlicz sequence spaces*, Israel J. Math. 10 (1971) 379–390.
- [19] L. Maligranda, *Orlicz Spaces and Interpolation*, Seminars in Mathematics, Polish Academy of Science, 1989.
- [20] J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1034, 1983.
- [21] S. Gähler, *2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische struktur*, Math. Nach. 26 (1963) 115–148.
- [22] A. Misiak, *n -inner product spaces*, Math. Nach. 140 (1989) 299–319.
- [23] H. Gunawan, *On n -inner product, n -norms and the Cauchy Schwartz inequality*, Sci. Math. Jpn. 5 (2001) 47–54.
- [24] H. Gunawan, *The space of p -summable sequence and its natural n -norms*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 64 (2001) 137–147.
- [25] H. Gunawan, M. Mashadi, *On n -normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (2001) 631–639.
- [26] G.G. Lorentz, *A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences*, Acta Mathematica 80 (1948) 167–190.

- [27] A.R. Freedman, J.J. Sember, M. Rephael, *Some Cesaro type summability spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 37 (1978) 508–520.

DOI: 10.7862/rf.2021.8

Sunil K. Sharma

email: sunilksharma42@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0002-6549-3024

Department of Mathematics

Cluster University of Jammu

Jammu 180001, J& K,

INDIA

Patchalai Anuchaivong

email: patchalai.anu@npu.ac.th

ORCID: 0000-0001-9748-757X

Faculty of Science

Nakhon Phanon University

Nakhon Phanon

THAILAND

Rohit Sharma

email: rohit94196@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0002-3830-9797

Department of Mathematics

Model Institute of Engineering & Technology

Kot Bhalwal-181122, J& K,

INDIA

Received 29.08.2020

Accepted 19.03.2021