

# Perturbation Theory, $M$ -essential Spectra of $2 \times 2$ Operator Matrices and Application to Transport Operators

*Aref Jeribi, Nedra Moalla and Sonia Yengui*

**ABSTRACT:** In this article we give some results on perturbation theory of  $2 \times 2$  block operator matrices on the product of Banach spaces. Furthermore, we investigate their  $M$ -essential spectra. Finally, we apply the obtained results to determine the  $M$ -essential spectra of two group transport operators with general boundary conditions in the Banach space  $L_p([-a, a] \times [-1, 1]) \times L_p([-a, a] \times [-1, 1])$ ,  $p \geq 1$  and  $a > 0$ .

*AMS Subject Classification:* 39B42, 47A55, 47A53, 47A10.

*Keywords and Phrases:* Operator matrices; Essential spectra; Fredholm perturbation; Transport equation.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces. In this work we will discuss some results on perturbation theory of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices on  $X \times Y$  and we will investigate their  $M$ -essential spectra. We consider operators in the following form

$$L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  are, in general, unbounded operators. The operator  $A$  acts on the Banach space  $X$  and has the domain  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $D$  is defined on  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  and acts on the Banach space  $Y$  and the intertwining operator  $B$  (resp.  $C$ ) is defined on the domain  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(C)$ ) and acts between these spaces. Note that, in general  $L_0$  is neither a closed nor a closable operator, even if its entries are closed. In [1], F. V. Atkinson,

H. Langer, R. Mennicken and A. A. Shkalikov give some sufficient conditions under which  $L_0$  is closable and describe its closure, that we will denote by  $L$ .

In recent years, number of papers have been devoted to study the essential spectra of block operator matrices acting in a product of Banach spaces, (see [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [14], [16], [23] and [26]). Most authors, there, have proposed methods for dealing with spectral theory for operators in the form  $L_0 - \mu M$  where  $M = I$ . We note that the idea of studying the spectral characteristics of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix operator goes back to the classics of the spectral theory for the differential operator. Hence several analysis focused on this issue may be found in the literature, see for example [10], [12], [13], [17], [18], [19], [20] and [25]. Recently, C. Tretter gives in [21], [22] and [23] an account research and presents a wide panorama of methods to investigate the spectral theory of block operator matrices. In the paper [8], M. Faierman, R. Mennicken and M. Möller propose a method for dealing with the spectral theory for pencils of the form  $L_0 - \mu M$ , where  $M$  is a bounded operator.

In this work, we generalize the results of [16] where  $M$ -essential spectra of some  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices on  $X \times X$  are discussed with  $M = I$ . For this, first we establish some results on perturbation theory of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices, essentially we prove the following result:

$$F := \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1 \times X_2) \text{ if and only if } F_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_j, X_i), \ i, j = 1, 2,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^b(X_j, X_i)$  designs the set of Fredholm perturbations (see Definition 2.2). Then we pursue the analysis started in [8] and we determine the  $M$ -essential spectra of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix operator where  $M$  is a bounded operator defined on the product of two Banach spaces  $X \times Y$ .

We organize the paper in the following way: In Section 2, some preliminary abstract results about Fredholm operators are given. In Section 3, we establish some results on perturbation theory of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices. The Section 4 is devoted to the study of the  $M$ -essential spectra of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix operator. Finally, in Section 5 we apply the obtained results to investigate the  $M$ -essential spectra of a two-group transport operator on  $L_p$ -spaces,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

## 2. Preliminary results

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ ) the set of all bounded (resp. closed, densely defined) linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  and we denote by  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  the subspace of compact operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ , we write  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset X$  for the domain,  $N(T) \subset X$  for the null space and  $R(T) \subset Y$  for the range of  $T$ . The nullity,  $\alpha(T)$ , of  $T$  is defined as the dimension of  $N(T)$  and the deficiency,  $\beta(T)$ , of  $T$  is defined as the codimension of  $R(T)$  in  $Y$ .

Let  $S$  be a bounded operator from  $X$  to  $Y$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ , we define the

$S$ -resolvent set of  $T$  by:

$$\rho_S(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda S - T \text{ has a bounded inverse}\},$$

and the  $S$ -spectrum of  $T$  by:

$$\sigma_S(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_S(T).$$

Now, we introduce the following important operator classes:

The set of upper semi-Fredholm operators is defined by:

$$\Phi_+(X, Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \text{ such that } \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T) \text{ is closed in } Y\},$$

and the set of lower semi-Fredholm operators is defined by:

$$\Phi_-(X, Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \text{ such that } \beta(T) < \infty\}.$$

$\Phi(X, Y) := \Phi_+(X, Y) \cap \Phi_-(X, Y)$  denote the set of Fredholm operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $\Phi_\pm(X, Y) := \Phi_+(X, Y) \cup \Phi_-(X, Y)$  the set of semi-Fredholm operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . While the number  $i(T) := \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$  is called the index of  $T$ , for  $T \in \Phi(X, Y)$ . We say that the complex number  $\lambda$  is in  $\Phi_{+T,S}$ ,  $\Phi_{-T,S}$ ,  $\Phi_{\pm T,S}$  or  $\Phi_{T,S}$  if  $\lambda S - T$  is in  $\Phi_+(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi_-(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi_\pm(X, Y)$  or  $\Phi(X, Y)$ , respectively. If  $X = Y$  then  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi_+(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi_-(X, Y)$ , and  $\Phi_\pm(X, Y)$  are replaced by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $\Phi(X)$ ,  $\Phi_+(X)$ ,  $\Phi_-(X)$ , and  $\Phi_\pm(X)$ , respectively.

In this paper we are concerned with the following  $S$ -essential spectra:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{e_1,S}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \lambda S - T \notin \Phi_+(X, Y)\} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \Phi_{+T,S}, \\ \sigma_{e_2,S}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \lambda S - T \notin \Phi_-(X, Y)\} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \Phi_{-T,S}, \\ \sigma_{e_3,S}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \lambda S - T \notin \Phi_\pm(X, Y)\} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \Phi_{\pm T,S}, \\ \sigma_{e_4,S}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \lambda S - T \notin \Phi(X, Y)\} := \mathbb{C} \setminus \Phi_{T,S}, \\ \sigma_{e_5,S}(T) &:= \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_{5,S}(T), \\ \sigma_{e_6,S}(T) &:= \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_{6,S}(T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho_{5,S}(T) := \{\lambda \in \Phi_{T,S} \text{ such that } i(\lambda S - T) = 0\}$  and  $\rho_{6,S}(T)$  denote the set of those  $\lambda \in \rho_{5,S}(T)$  such that all scalars near  $\lambda$  are in  $\rho_S(T)$ . They can be ordered as

$$\sigma_{e_3,S}(T) = \sigma_{e_1,S}(T) \cap \sigma_{e_2,S}(T) \subset \sigma_{e_4,S}(T) \subset \sigma_{e_5,S}(T) \subset \sigma_{e_6,S}(T).$$

Note that if  $S = I$ , we recover the usual definition of the essential spectra of a closed densely defined linear operator (see [16]).

Let us, now, introduce some notation and then continue with some lemmas and propositions.

**Proposition 2.1.** [15] *Let  $T \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and consider  $S$  a nonzero bounded linear operator from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Then we have the following results:*

- (i)  $\Phi_{T,S}$  is open.
- (ii)  $i(\lambda S - T)$  is constant on any component of  $\Phi_{T,S}$ .
- (iii)  $\alpha(\lambda S - T)$  and  $\beta(\lambda S - T)$  are constant on any component of  $\Phi_{T,S}$  except on a discrete set of points on which they have larger values.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces and let  $F \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .  $F$  is called strictly singular, if for every infinite-dimensional closed subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $X$ , the restriction of  $F$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  is not bijective.

Let  $\mathcal{S}(X, Y)$  denote the set of strictly singular operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces and let  $F \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ .

(i) The operator  $F$  is called Fredholm perturbation if  $U + F \in \Phi(X, Y)$  whenever  $U \in \Phi(X, Y)$ .

(ii)  $F$  is called an upper (resp. lower) semi-Fredholm perturbation if  $U + F \in \Phi_+(X, Y)$  (resp.  $U + F \in \Phi_-(X, Y)$ ) whenever  $U \in \Phi_+(X, Y)$  (resp.  $U \in \Phi_-(X, Y)$ ).

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  the set of Fredholm perturbations and by  $\mathcal{F}_+(X, Y)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_-(X, Y)$ ) the set of upper semi-Fredholm (resp. lower semi-Fredholm) perturbations.

**Remark 2.1.** Let  $\Phi^b(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi_+^b(X, Y)$  and  $\Phi_-^b(X, Y)$  denote the sets  $\Phi(X, Y) \cap \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi_+(X, Y) \cap \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $\Phi_-(X, Y) \cap \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  respectively. If in Definition 2.2 we replace  $\Phi(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi_+(X, Y)$  and  $\Phi_-(X, Y)$  by  $\Phi^b(X, Y)$ ,  $\Phi_+^b(X, Y)$  and  $\Phi_-^b(X, Y)$  we obtain the sets  $\mathcal{F}^b(X, Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_+^b(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_-^b(X, Y)$ .

The sets of Fredholm perturbations and semi-Fredholm perturbations were introduced in [9]. In particular it is shown that  $\mathcal{F}^b(X, Y)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_+^b(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_-^b(X, Y)$  are closed subsets of  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and if  $X = Y$ , then  $\mathcal{F}^b(X) := \mathcal{F}^b(X, X)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_+^b(X) := \mathcal{F}_+^b(X, X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_-^b(X) := \mathcal{F}_-^b(X, X)$  are closed two-sided ideals of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ .

In general, we have the following inclusions:

$$\mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{S}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{F}^b(X, Y).$$

Note that, the set  $\mathcal{F}^b(X, Y)$  can strictly contains  $\mathcal{S}(X, Y)$ . Indeed, in [27], the author gives some geometric conditions on the Banach spaces for which the equality  $\mathcal{S}(X, Y) = \mathcal{F}^b(X, Y)$  does not hold.

Recall the following result established in [3].

**Lemma 2.1.** [3] Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces, then

$$\mathcal{F}(X, Y) = \mathcal{F}^b(X, Y).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** [15] Let  $T_1, T_2$  are two closed densely defined linear operators on  $X$  and  $S$  an invertible operator on  $X$ .

(i) If for some  $\lambda \in \rho_S(T_1) \cap \rho_S(T_2)$ , the operator  $(\lambda S - T_1)^{-1} - (\lambda S - T_2)^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X)$ , then

$$\sigma_{e_i, S}(T_1) = \sigma_{e_i, S}(T_2), \quad i = 4, 5.$$

(ii) If for some  $\lambda \in \rho_S(T_1) \cap \rho_S(T_2)$ , the operator  $(\lambda S - T_1)^{-1} - (\lambda S - T_2)^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}_+^b(X)$ , then

$$\sigma_{e_1, S}(T_1) = \sigma_{e_1, S}(T_2).$$

(iii) If for some  $\lambda \in \rho_S(T_1) \cap \rho_S(T_2)$ , the operator  $(\lambda S - T_1)^{-1} - (\lambda S - T_2)^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}_-^b(X)$ , then

$$\sigma_{e_2, S}(T_1) = \sigma_{e_2, S}(T_2).$$

(iv) If for some  $\lambda \in \rho_S(T_1) \cap \rho_S(T_2)$ , the operator  $(\lambda S - T_1)^{-1} - (\lambda S - T_2)^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}_+^b(X) \cap \mathcal{F}_-^b(X)$ , then

$$\sigma_{e_3, S}(T_1) = \sigma_{e_3, S}(T_2).$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is said to have a left Fredholm inverse if there exists an operator  $T_l \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $T_l T - I \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ . Similarly,  $T$  is said to have a right Fredholm inverse if there exists  $T_r \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  such that  $T T_r - I \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ . The operators  $T_l$  and  $T_r$  are called, respectively, left and right Fredholm inverse of  $T$ .

We will denote by  $\Phi_l^b(X, Y)$  (resp.  $\Phi_r^b(X, Y)$ ) the set of bounded operators which have left Fredholm inverse (resp. right Fredholm inverse).

It follows from [17, Theorems 14. and 15. p. 160] that

$$\Phi_l^b(X, Y) = \{T \in \Phi_+^b(X, Y) \text{ such that } R(T) \text{ is complemented}\}$$

and

$$\Phi_r^b(X, Y) = \{T \in \Phi_-^b(X, Y) \text{ such that } \ker(T) \text{ is complemented}\},$$

where a subspace  $N \subset X$  is said to be complemented if there exists a closed subspace  $M \subset X$  such that  $N \oplus M = X$ .

Note that we have the following inclusions:

$$\Phi^b(X, Y) \subset \Phi_l^b(X, Y) \subset \Phi_+^b(X, Y)$$

and

$$\Phi^b(X, Y) \subset \Phi_r^b(X, Y) \subset \Phi_-^b(X, Y).$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces. We denote by

$$\mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Y) = \{F \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \text{ such that } T + F \in \Phi_l^b(X, Y) \text{ whenever } T \in \Phi_l^b(X, Y)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Y) = \{F \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \text{ such that } T + F \in \Phi_r^b(X, Y) \text{ whenever } T \in \Phi_r^b(X, Y)\}.$$

The set  $\mathcal{F}_l^b(X, X)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_r^b(X, X)$ ) will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_l^b(X)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}_r^b(X)$ ).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be three Banach spaces.

(i) If  $A \in \Phi^b(Y, Z)$  and  $T \in \Phi_l^b(X, Y)$  (resp.  $T \in \Phi_r^b(X, Y)$ ), then  $AT \in \Phi_l^b(X, Z)$  (resp.  $AT \in \Phi_r^b(X, Z)$ ).

(ii) If  $A \in \Phi^b(X, Y)$  and  $T \in \Phi_l^b(Y, Z)$  (resp.  $T \in \Phi_r^b(Y, Z)$ ), then  $TA \in \Phi_l^b(X, Z)$  (resp.  $TA \in \Phi_r^b(X, Z)$ ).

**Proof.** (i) Let  $A \in \Phi^b(Y, Z)$ , then, by [24, Theorem 5.4.] there exist  $A_0 \in \mathcal{L}(Z, Y)$  and  $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$  (resp.  $K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$ ) such that  $A_0A = I_Y - K_1$  (resp.  $AA_0 = I_Z - K_2$ ). On the other hand, there exist  $T_l \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  (resp.  $T_r \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ ) and  $K_3 \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  (resp.  $K_4 \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ ) such that  $T_lT = I_X - K_3$  (resp.  $TT_r = I_Y - K_4$ ) since  $T \in \Phi_l^b(X, Y)$  (resp.  $T \in \Phi_r^b(X, Y)$ ). So,  $T_lA_0AT = I_X - K_3 - T_lK_1T$  (resp.  $ATT_rA_0 = I_Z - K_4 - AK_2A_0$ ), which imply that  $AT \in \Phi_l^b(X, Z)$  (resp.  $AT \in \Phi_r^b(X, Z)$ ).  
(ii) The proof is analogous to the previous one.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be three Banach spaces.*

(i) *If the set  $\Phi^b(Y, Z)$  is not empty, then*

$$F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Y) \text{ and } A \in \Phi^b(Y, Z), \text{ imply } AF_1 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Z),$$

$$F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Y) \text{ and } A \in \Phi^b(Y, Z), \text{ imply } AF_1 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Z).$$

(ii) *If the set  $\Phi^b(X, Y)$  is not empty, then*

$$F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(Y, Z) \text{ and } A \in \Phi^b(X, Y), \text{ imply } F_2A \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Z),$$

$$F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(Y, Z) \text{ and } A \in \Phi^b(X, Y), \text{ imply } F_2A \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Z).$$

**Proof.** (i) Since  $A \in \Phi^b(Y, Z)$ , then there exist  $A_0 \in \mathcal{L}(Z, Y)$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}(Z)$  such that  $AA_0 = I_Z - K$ . By [24, Theorem 5.5. p. 105] we have  $A_0 \in \Phi^b(Z, Y)$ . Let  $B \in \Phi_l^b(X, Z)$  (resp.  $B \in \Phi_r^b(X, Z)$ ). Using the Propriety 2.3(i) we deduce that  $A_0B \in \Phi_l^b(X, Y)$  (resp.  $A_0B \in \Phi_r^b(X, Y)$ ). Then  $A_0B + F_1 \in \Phi_l^b(X, Y)$  (resp.  $A_0B + F_1 \in \Phi_r^b(X, Y)$ ). And so  $AF_1 + B - KB \in \Phi_l^b(X, Y)$  (resp.  $AF_1 + B - KB \in \Phi_r^b(X, Y)$ ). Therefore  $AF_1 + B \in \Phi_l^b(X, Y)$  (resp.  $AF_1 + B \in \Phi_r^b(X, Y)$ ).  
(ii) The proof of (ii) is obtained as like as the proof of (i).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be Banach spaces.*

(i) *If the set  $\Phi^b(Y, Z)$  is not empty, then*

$$F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Y) \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z), \text{ imply } AF_1 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Z),$$

$$F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Y) \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z), \text{ imply } AF_1 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Z).$$

(ii) *If the set  $\Phi^b(X, Y)$  is not empty, then*

$$F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(Y, Z) \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y), \text{ imply } F_2A \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Z),$$

$$F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(Y, Z) \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y), \text{ imply } F_2A \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Z).$$

**Remark 2.2.** It follows from Definition 2.4 and the previous theorem that  $\mathcal{F}_l^b(X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_r^b(X)$  are two-sided ideals of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** (i) Let  $C \in \Phi^b(Y, Z)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $A_1 = A - \lambda C$  and  $A_2 = \lambda C$ . For sufficiently large  $\lambda$ , using [24, Theorem 5.11], we have  $A_1 \in \Phi^b(Y, Z)$ . It follows from Proposition 2.4(i) that  $A_1 F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Z)$  (resp.  $A_1 F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Z)$ ) and  $A_2 F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Z)$  (resp.  $A_2 F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Z)$ ). This implies  $A_1 F_1 + A_2 F_1 = A F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Z)$  (resp.  $A_1 F_1 + A_2 F_1 = A F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Z)$ ). (ii) We can check the other results in the same way as the previous one.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces. If the set  $\Phi^b(Y, Z)$  is not empty, then we have the inclusions:*

$$\mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{F}^b(X, Y),$$

$$\mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{F}_r^b(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{F}^b(X, Y).$$

**Proof.** We will prove the first result. The same reasoning remains valid for the second one. It is obvious that  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Y)$ . For the second inclusion, let  $F \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X, Y)$  and consider  $A \in \Phi^b(X, Y)$ , then there exist  $A_0 \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  such that  $A_0 A = I_X - K$ . So,  $A_0(A + F) = I_X - K + A_0 F$ . It follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $A_0 F \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X)$ , then  $A_0(A + F) \in \Phi_l^b(X)$ . Using the inclusion  $\Phi_l^b(X, Y) \subset \Phi_+^b(X, Y)$ , we obtain  $A + F \in \Phi_+^b(X, Y)$ . On the other hand, consider the mapping  $\varphi$  defined by:  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \varphi(\lambda) = A + \lambda F$ . Note that  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $\varphi([0, 1]) \subset \Phi_+^b(X, Y)$ , using Proposition 2.1, we can deduce that  $i(A + F) = i(A) < \infty$ . Hence  $A + F \in \Phi^b(X, Y)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Some results on perturbation theory of $2 \times 2$ matrix operator

In this section we will establish some results on perturbation theory of  $2 \times 2$  matrix operator that acts on a product of Banach spaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . The following lemmas are necessary.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X_1)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X_2)$  and consider the  $2 \times 2$  matrix operator  $M_C := \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  where  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X_2, X_1)$ . Then*

(i) *If  $A \in \Phi^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi^b(X_2)$ , then  $M_C \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ , for every  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X_2, X_1)$ .*

(ii) *If  $A \in \Phi_+^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi_+^b(X_2)$ , then  $M_C \in \Phi_+^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  for every  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X_2, X_1)$ .*

(iii) *If  $A \in \Phi_-^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi_-^b(X_2)$ , then  $M_C \in \Phi_-^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  for every  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X_2, X_1)$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Write  $M_C$  in the form

$$M_C = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Since  $A \in \Phi^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi^b(X_2)$ , then  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  are both Fredholm operators. So,  $M_C$  is a Fredholm operator, since  $\begin{pmatrix} I & C \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible for every  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X_2, X_1)$ .

(ii) and (iii) can be checked in the same way as (i).  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** Using the same reasoning as the proof of the previous lemma we can show that:

- (i) If  $A \in \Phi^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi^b(X_2)$ , then  $M_D := \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ D & B \end{pmatrix}$  is a Fredholm operator on  $X_1 \times X_2$  for every  $D \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2)$ .
- (ii) If  $A \in \Phi_+^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi_+^b(X_2)$ , then  $M_D \in \Phi_+^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  for every  $D \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2)$ .
- (iii) If  $A \in \Phi_-^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi_-^b(X_2)$ , then  $M_D \in \Phi_-^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  for every  $D \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X_1)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X_2)$  and consider the  $2 \times 2$  matrix operator  $M_C := \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$  where  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X_2, X_1)$ .

- (i) If  $M_C \in \Phi_+^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ , then  $A \in \Phi_+^b(X_1)$ .
- (ii) If  $M_C \in \Phi_-^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ , then  $B \in \Phi_-^b(X_2)$ .

**Proof.** The result follows immediately from Eq. (3.1).  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** (i) It follows immediately from the last Lemma that if  $M_C \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ , then  $A \in \Phi_+^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi_-^b(X_2)$ .

(ii) Using the same reasoning as the proof of the previous lemma we can show that if the operator  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ D & B \end{pmatrix}$  is in  $\Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  for some  $D \in \mathcal{L}(X_1, X_2)$ , then  $A \in \Phi_-^b(X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi_+^b(X_2)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $F := \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  where  $F_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Then

$$F \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1 \times X_2) \text{ if and only if } F_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_j, X_i), \forall i, j = 1, 2.$$

**Remark 3.3.** (i) It follows from Lemma 2.1 that Theorem 3.1 remains valid if we replace  $\mathcal{F}^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  by  $\mathcal{F}(X_1 \times X_2)$  and  $\mathcal{F}^b(X_j, X_i)$  by  $\mathcal{F}(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

(ii) It is sufficient to apply the definition of compact and strictly singular operators to verify that the result of Theorem 3.1 is true for these classes of operators. Therefore, in view of Remark 2.1 the previous theorem may be viewed as a generalization to a more large class of operators.



**Proof.** To prove the second implication, we consider the following decomposition,

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ F_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & F_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is sufficient to prove that if  $F_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  then, each operator in the right hand side of the previous equality is a Fredholm perturbation on  $X_1 \times X_2$ . We will prove the result for example for the first operator. The proof for the other operators will be in the same way. Consider  $L = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  and

denote  $\tilde{F} := \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . It follows from [17, Theorem 12 p.159] that there exist  $L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & B_0 \\ C_0 & D_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(X_1 \times X_2)$  and  $K = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{K}(X_1 \times X_2)$  such that

$$LL_0 = I - K \text{ on } X_1 \times X_2.$$

Then,

$$(L + \tilde{F})L_0 = I - K + \tilde{F}L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I - K_{11} + F_{11}A_0 & -K_{12} + F_{11}B_0 \\ -K_{21} & I - K_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $F_{11} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1)$  and using Theorem 2.1(ii), we will have  $I - K_{11} + F_{11}A_0 \in \Phi^b(X_1)$ . This, with the fact that  $I - K_{22} \in \Phi^b(X_2)$ , we can deduce from Lemma 3.1(i) that  $(L + \tilde{F})L_0 - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -K_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is a Fredholm operator on  $X_1 \times X_2$ . The fact that  $K_{21}$  is a compact operator and  $L_0 \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  leads, by [24, Theorem 5.13], to  $L + \tilde{F} \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ .

Conversely, assume that  $F \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ . We will prove that  $F_{11} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1)$ . Let  $A \in \Phi^b(X_1)$  and define the operator  $L_1 := \begin{pmatrix} A & -F_{12} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ . It follows, from Lemma 3.1(i) that  $L_1 \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ . Thus  $F + L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A + F_{11} & 0 \\ F_{21} & I + F_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ . The use of Remark 3.2(ii) leads to

$$A + F_{11} \in \Phi_-^b(X_1). \quad (3.2)$$

In the same way, we consider the Fredholm operator  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ -F_{21} & I \end{pmatrix}$  and we use Remarks 3.1(i) and 3.2(i) to deduce that

$$A + F_{11} \in \Phi_+^b(X_1). \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$F_{11} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1).$$

In the same way, we prove that  $F_{22} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_2)$ .

Now, we will prove that  $F_{12} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_2, X_1)$  and  $F_{21} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1, X_2)$ . For this, consider  $A \in \Phi^b(X_2, X_1)$  and  $B \in \Phi^b(X_1, X_2)$ . Then  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ . Using the fact that  $F_{11} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1)$ ,  $F_{22} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_2)$  and the result of the second implication, we deduce that  $F + \begin{pmatrix} -F_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & -F_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ . Hence,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A + F_{12} \\ B + F_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ . So,  $A + F_{12} \in \Phi^b(X_2, X_1)$  and  $B + F_{21} \in \Phi^b(X_1, X_2)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $F := \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} \end{pmatrix}$  where  $F_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ . Then

(i)  $F \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  if and only if  $F_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $\forall i, j = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $F \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  if and only if  $F_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}_r^b(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $\forall i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Proof.** (i) Using the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we obtain:

$$L_0(L + \tilde{F}) = I - K + L_0\tilde{F} = \begin{pmatrix} I - K_{11} + A_0F_{11} & -K_{12} \\ -K_{21} + C_0F_{11} & I - K_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $F_{11} \in \mathcal{F}_l^b(X_1)$  and using Theorem 2.1(i), we deduce that  $I - K_{11} + A_0F_{11} \in \Phi_l^b(X_1)$ . So, there exist an operator  $H \in \mathcal{L}(X_1 \times X_2)$  and  $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}(X_1)$  such that  $H(I - K_{11} + A_0F_{11}) = I - K_0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} L_0(L + \tilde{F}) = I - \begin{pmatrix} K_0 & HK_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_0F_{11} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Theorem 2.1(i), Proposition 2.5(i) and Theorem 3.1 we obtain  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C_0F_{11} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{F}^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ , and so,  $\begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} L_0(L + \tilde{F}) \in \Phi^b(X_1 \times X_2)$ , then there exist  $L_1 \in \mathcal{L}(X_1 \times X_2)$  and  $\tilde{K} \in \mathcal{K}(X_1 \times X_2)$  such that  $L_1 \begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} L_0(L + \tilde{F}) = I - \tilde{K}$ , which implies that  $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{F}_b^l(X_1 \times X_2)$ .

(ii) We prove this assertion in the same way as in (i).  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** The following questions remain open:

(i)  $F \in \mathcal{F}_+^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  if and only if  $F_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}_+^b(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $\forall i, j = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $F \in \mathcal{F}_-^b(X_1 \times X_2)$  if and only if  $F_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}_-^b(X_j, X_i)$ ,  $\forall i, j = 1, 2$ .

## 4. The $M$ -essential spectra of $2 \times 2$ matrix operator

The purpose of this section is to discuss the  $M$ -essential spectra of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix operator  $L$ , closure of  $L_0$  that acts on the Banach space  $X \times Y$  where  $M$  is a bounded

operator formally defined on the product space  $X \times Y$  by a matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $L_0$  is given by

$$L_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

The operator  $A$  acts on  $X$  and has the domain  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $D$  is defined on  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  and acts on the Banach space  $Y$ , and the intertwining operator  $B$  (resp.  $C$ ) is defined on the domain  $\mathcal{D}(B)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(C)$ ) and acts on  $X$  (resp. on  $Y$ ).

In what follows, we will assume that the following conditions hold:

( $H_1$ )  $A$  is a closed, densely defined linear operator on  $X$  with nonempty  $M_1$ -resolvent set  $\rho_{M_1}(A)$ .

( $H_2$ ) The operator  $B$  is densely defined linear operator on  $X$  and for some (hence for all)  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , the operator  $(A - \mu M_1)^{-1}B$  is closable. (In particular, if  $B$  is closable then  $(A - \mu M_1)^{-1}B$  is closable).

( $H_3$ ) The operator  $C$  satisfies  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{D}(C)$ , and for some (hence for all)  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , the operator  $C(A - \mu M_1)^{-1}$  is bounded.

( $H_4$ ) The lineal  $\mathcal{D}(B) \cap \mathcal{D}(D)$  is dense in  $Y$ , and for some (hence for all)  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , the operator  $D - C(A - \mu M_1)^{-1}B$  is closable, we will denote by  $S(\mu)$  the closure of the operator  $D - (C - \mu M_3)(A - \mu M_1)^{-1}(B - \mu M_2)$ .

**Remark 4.1.** (i) It follows from the closed graph theorem that the operator  $G(\mu) := \overline{(A - \mu M_1)^{-1}(B - \mu M_2)}$  is bounded on  $Y$ .

(ii) We emphasize that neither the domain of  $S(\mu)$  nor the property of being closable depend on  $\mu$ . Indeed, consider  $\lambda, \mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , then we have:

$$S(\lambda) - S(\mu) = (\lambda - \mu) [M_3 G(\mu) + F(\lambda) M_2 + F(\lambda) M_1 G(\mu)], \quad (4.1)$$

where  $F(\lambda) = (C - \lambda M_3)(A - \lambda M_1)^{-1}$ . Since the operators  $F(\lambda)$  and  $G(\mu)$  are bounded, then the difference  $S(\lambda) - S(\mu)$  is bounded. Therefore neither the domain of  $S(\mu)$  nor the property of being closable depend on  $\mu$ .

We recall the following result established in [8] which describes the closure of the operator  $L_0$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** [8] *Let conditions ( $H_1$ )–( $H_3$ ) be satisfied and the lineal  $\mathcal{D}(B) \cap \mathcal{D}(D)$  be dense in  $X$ . Then the operator  $L_0$  is closable if and only if the operator  $D - C(A - \mu M_1)^{-1}B$  is closable in  $X$ , for some  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ . Moreover, the closure  $L$  of  $L_0$  is given by*

$$L = \mu M + \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ F(\mu) & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A - \mu M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S(\mu) - \mu M_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & G(\mu) \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

**Lemma 4.1.** (i) If  $M_3 \in \mathcal{F}^b(X, Y)$  and  $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}^b(X, Y)$ , for some  $\lambda \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , then  $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}^b(X, Y)$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ .

(ii) If  $M_2 \in \mathcal{F}^b(Y, X)$  and if  $G(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}^b(Y, X)$ , for some  $\lambda \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , then  $G(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}^b(Y, X)$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ .

(iii) If  $F(\lambda)$ ,  $G(\lambda)$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are Fredholm perturbations, for some  $\lambda \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , then  $\sigma_{e_i, M_4}(S(\lambda))$  does not depend on  $\lambda \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ .

**Proof.** (i) The result follows from the identity

$$F(\lambda) - F(\mu) = (\lambda - \mu)[F(\lambda)M_1 - M_3](A - \mu M_1)^{-1}, \text{ for all } \lambda \text{ and } \mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A).$$

(ii) The result follows from the identity

$$G(\lambda) - G(\mu) = (\lambda - \mu)(A - \lambda M_1)^{-1}[M_1 G(\mu) - M_2], \text{ for all } \lambda \text{ and } \mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A).$$

(iii) The result of this assertion follows from Eq. (4.1).  $\square$  In the sequel, we will

denote the complement of a subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  by  ${}^C\Omega$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $L_0$  be the  $2 \times 2$  matrix operator satisfying conditions  $(H_1) - (H_4)$ . If  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are Fredholm perturbations and if for some (hence for all)  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ ,  $F(\mu)$  and  $G(\mu)$  are Fredholm perturbations, then

$$\sigma_{e_4, M}(L) = \sigma_{e_4, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_4, M_4}(S(\mu)).$$

and

$$\sigma_{e_5, M}(L) \subseteq \sigma_{e_5, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_5, M_4}(S(\mu)).$$

Moreover, if  ${}^C\sigma_{e_4, M_1}(A)$  is connected, then

$$\sigma_{e_5, M}(L) = \sigma_{e_5, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_5, M_4}(S(\mu)).$$

If in addition,  ${}^C\sigma_{e_5, M}(L)$  is connected,  $\rho_M(L) \neq \emptyset$ ,  ${}^C\sigma_{e_5, M_4}(S(\mu))$  is connected and  $\rho_{M_4}(S(\mu)) \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\sigma_{e_6, M}(L) = \sigma_{e_6, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_6, M_4}(S(\mu)).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$  be such that the operators  $F(\mu)$  and  $G(\mu)$  are Fredholm perturbations and set  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . While writing  $\lambda M - L = \mu M - L + (\lambda - \mu)M$ , using the relation (4.2) we have

$$\lambda M - L = UV(\lambda)W - (\lambda - \mu) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_1 G(\mu) - M_2 \\ F(\mu)M_1 - M_3 & F(\mu)M_1 G(\mu) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

where  $U = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ F(\mu) & I \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $W = \begin{pmatrix} I & G(\mu) \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$  and  $V(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda M_1 - A & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda M_4 - S(\mu) \end{pmatrix}$ . Since the operators  $F(\mu)$ ,  $G(\mu)$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are Fredholm perturbations, then by Theorem 3.1 the second operator in the right hand side of Eq.(4.3) is a Fredholm perturbation. So  $\lambda M - L$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $UV(\lambda)W$  is a Fredholm operator. Now, observe that the operators  $U$  and  $W$  are bounded and have bounded inverse, hence the operator  $UV(\lambda)W$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $V(\lambda)$  has this property if and only if  $\lambda M_1 - A$  (resp.  $\lambda M_4 - S(\mu)$ ) is a Fredholm operator on  $X$  (resp. on  $Y$ ) and

$$i(\lambda M - L) = i(\lambda M_1 - A) + i(\lambda M_4 - S(\mu)). \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma_{e_4, M}(L) = \sigma_{e_4, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_4, M_4}(S(\mu))$$

and

$$\sigma_{e_5, M}(L) \subseteq \sigma_{e_5, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_5, M_4}(S(\mu)). \quad (4.5)$$

Suppose now that  ${}^C\sigma_{e_4, M_1}(A)$  is connected. By assumption  $(H_1)$ ,  $\rho_{M_1}(A)$  is not empty. Let  $\alpha \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , then,  $\alpha M_1 - A \in \Phi(X)$  and  $i(\alpha M_1 - A) = 0$ . Since  $\rho_{M_1}(A) \subseteq \rho_{4, M_1}(A)$  and by Proposition 2.1,  $i(\lambda M_1 - A)$  is constant on any component of  $\Phi_{M_1, A}$ , then  $i(\lambda M_1 - A) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \rho_{4, M_1}(A)$ . It follows, immediately, from Eqs (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$\sigma_{e_5, M}(L) = \sigma_{e_5, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_5, M_4}(S(\mu)). \quad (4.6)$$

Assume further, that  ${}^C\sigma_{e_5, M_1}(A)$  is connected. Then, by Lemma 2.1 in [15] and using Eq. 4.6 we have

$$\sigma_{e_6, M}(L) = \sigma_{e_6, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_6, M_4}(S(\mu)). \quad \square$$

In the sequel we will denote, for  $\mu \in \varrho_{M_1}(A)$ , by  $M(\mu)$  the following operator

$$M(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_1 G(\mu) - M_2 \\ F(\mu) M_1 - M_3 & F(\mu) M_1 G(\mu) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 4.3.** (i) If the operator  $M(\mu) \in \mathcal{F}_+(X \times Y)$  for some  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , then

$$\sigma_{e_1, M}(L) = \sigma_{e_1, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_1, M_4}(S(\mu)).$$

(ii) If the operator  $M(\mu) \in \mathcal{F}_-(X \times Y)$  for some  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , then

$$\sigma_{e_2, M}(L) = \sigma_{e_2, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_2, M_4}(S(\mu)).$$

(iii) If  $M(\mu) \in \mathcal{F}_+(X \times Y) \cap \mathcal{F}_-(X \times Y)$  for some  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{e_3, M}(L) &= \sigma_{e_3, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_3, M_4}(S(\mu)) \cup [\sigma_{e_2, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_1, M_4}(S(\mu))] \\ &\quad \cup [\sigma_{e_1, M_1}(A) \cup \sigma_{e_2, M_4}(S(\mu))]. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** The assertions (i) and (ii) follow immediately from Eq. (4.3).

The assertion (iii) is an immediate consequence of (i) and (ii).  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** Theorems (4.2) and (4.3) generalize the Theorem (3.2) in [16].

## 5. Application to two-group transport operators

The aim of this section is to apply the obtained results to study the  $M$ -essential spectra of a class of linear two-group transport operators on  $L_p$ -spaces,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , with abstract boundary conditions.

Let

$$X_p := L_p((-a, a) \times (-1, 1); dx dv), \quad a > 0, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

We consider the following two-group transport operators with abstract boundary conditions:

$$A_H = T_H + K$$

where

$$T_H \psi = \begin{pmatrix} T_{H_1} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{H_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $K_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2$ , are bounded linear operators defined on  $X_p$  by

$$\begin{cases} K_{ij} : X_p \longrightarrow X_p \\ u \longrightarrow K_{ij}u(x, v) = \int_{-1}^1 \kappa_{ij}(x, v, v')u(x, v')dv' \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

and the kernels  $\kappa_{ij} : (-a, a) \times (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are assumed to be measurable. Each operator  $T_{H_j}, j = 1, 2$ , is defined by

$$\begin{cases} T_{H_j} : \mathcal{D}(T_{H_j}) \subset X_p \longrightarrow X_p, \\ \varphi \longrightarrow (T_{H_j} \varphi)(x, v) = -v \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x, v) - \sigma_j(v) \varphi(x, v), \\ \mathcal{D}(T_{H_j}) = \{\varphi \in W \text{ such that } \varphi^i = H_j \varphi^o\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $W$  is the space defined by

$$W = \{\varphi \in X_p \text{ such that } v \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \in X_p\}$$

and  $\sigma_j(\cdot) \in L^\infty(-1, 1)$ .  $\varphi^o, \varphi^i$  represent the outgoing and the incoming fluxes related by the boundary operator  $H_j$  ("o" for the outgoing and "i" for the incoming) and given by

$$\begin{cases} \varphi^i(v) = \varphi(-a, v), & v \in (0, 1), \\ \varphi^i(v) = \varphi(a, v), & v \in (-1, 0), \\ \varphi^o(v) = \varphi(-a, v), & v \in (-1, 0), \\ \varphi^o(v) = \varphi(a, v), & v \in (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

We denote by  $X_p^o$  and  $X_p^i$  the following boundary spaces:

$X_p^o := L_p[\{-a\} \times (-1, 0); |v|dv] \times L_p[\{a\} \times (0, 1); |v|dv] := X_{1,p}^o \times X_{2,p}^o$   
equipped with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^o, X_p^o\| &:= \left( \|u_1^o, X_{1,p}^o\|^p + \|u_2^o, X_{2,p}^o\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[ \int_{-1}^0 |u(-a, v)|^p |v| dv + \int_0^1 |u(a, v)|^p |v| dv \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$X_p^i := L_p[\{-a\} \times (0, 1); |v|dv] \times L_p[\{a\} \times (-1, 0); |v|dv] := X_{1,p}^i \times X_{2,p}^i$   
equipped with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^i, X_p^i\| &:= \left( \|u_1^i, X_{1,p}^i\|^p + \|u_2^i, X_{2,p}^i\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[ \int_0^1 |u(-a, v)|^p |v| dv + \int_{-1}^0 |u(a, v)|^p |v| dv \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that any function  $u$  in  $W$  possesses traces on the spacial boundary  $\{-a\} \times (-1, 0)$  and  $\{a\} \times (0, 1)$  which respectively belong to the spaces  $X_p^o$  and  $X_p^i$  (see, for instance, [7] or [11]). they are denoted, respectively, by  $u^o$  and  $u^i$ .

It is clear that the operator  $A_H$  is defined on  $\mathcal{D}(T_{H_1}) \times \mathcal{D}(T_{H_2})$ . We will denote the operator  $A_H$  by

$$A_H := \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} A_{11} = T_{H_1} + K_{11}, \\ A_{12} = K_{12}, \\ A_{21} = K_{21}, \\ A_{22} = T_{H_2} + K_{22}. \end{cases}$$

The object of this part is to determine the  $M$ -essential spectra of the operator  $A_H$  where  $M$  is the following operator

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 4$  are defined by

$$\begin{cases} M_i : X_p & \longrightarrow X_p \\ \varphi & \longrightarrow (M_i \varphi)(x, v) = \eta_i(v) \varphi(x, v) \end{cases}$$

where  $\eta_i(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(-1, 1)$  and  $M_2, M_3$  are in  $\mathcal{F}(X_p)$ .

To verify the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2, we shall first determine the expression of the  $M_1$ -resolvent of the operator  $T_{H_1}$ . Let  $\varphi \in X_p$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and consider the  $M_1$ -resolvent equation for  $T_{H_1}$

$$(\lambda M_1 - T_{H_1})\psi_1 = \varphi, \quad (5.2)$$

where the unknown  $\psi_1$  must be in  $\mathcal{D}(T_{H_1})$ . Let

$$\lambda_j^* = \text{ess-inf } \sigma_j(v), \quad j = 1, 2;$$

$$\mu_j^* = \text{ess-inf } \eta_j(v), \quad j = 1, 2;$$

we suppose that  $\mu_j^* > 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  and let

$$\lambda_0^j := \begin{cases} -\lambda_j^*, & \text{if } \|H_j\| \leq 1 \\ -\frac{\lambda_j^*}{\mu_j^*} + \frac{1}{2a\mu_j^*} \log(\|H_j\|), & \text{if } \|H_j\| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mu_1^* \text{Re} \lambda + \lambda_1^* > 0$ , the solution of Eq. (5.2) is formally given by

$$\psi_1(x, v) = \begin{cases} \psi_1(-a, v) e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|a+x|}{|v|}} \\ + \frac{1}{|v|} \int_{-a}^x e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|x-x'|}{|v|}} \varphi(x', v) dx', & 0 < v < 1, \\ \psi_1(a, v) e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|a-x|}{|v|}} \\ + \frac{1}{|v|} \int_x^a e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|x-x'|}{|v|}} \varphi(x', v) dx', & -1 < v < 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Accordingly,  $\psi_1(a, v)$  and  $\psi_1(-a, v)$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(a, v) &= \psi_1(-a, v) e^{-2a \frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))}{|v|}} \\ + \frac{1}{|v|} \int_{-a}^a e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|a-x|}{|v|}} \varphi(x, v) dx, & 0 < v < 1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\psi_1(-a, v) = \psi_1(a, v) e^{-2a \frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))}{|v|}} \quad (5.5)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{|v|} \int_{-a}^a e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|a+x|}{|v|}} \varphi(x, v) dx, \quad -1 < v < 0. \quad (5.6)$$

For the clarity of our subsequent analysis, we introduce the following bounded operators:

$$\begin{cases} M_\lambda : X_p^i \rightarrow X_p^o, & M_\lambda u := (M_\lambda^+ u, M_\lambda^- u) \quad \text{with} \\ M_\lambda^+ u(-a, v) := u(-a, v) e^{-2a \frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))}{|v|}}, & 0 < v < 1, \\ M_\lambda^- u(a, v) := u(a, v) e^{-2a \frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))}{|v|}}, & -1 < v < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} B_\lambda : X_p^i \rightarrow X_p, & B_\lambda u := \chi(-1, 0)(v) B_\lambda^- u + \chi(0, 1)(v) B_\lambda^+ u \quad \text{with} \\ B_\lambda^+ u(x, v) := u(-a, v) e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|a+x|}{|v|}}, & 0 < v < 1, \\ B_\lambda^- u(x, v) := u(a, v) e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|a-x|}{|v|}}, & -1 < v < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} G_\lambda : X_p \rightarrow X_p^o, & G_\lambda \varphi := (G_\lambda^+ \varphi, G_\lambda^- \varphi) \quad \text{with} \\ G_\lambda^+ \varphi(-a, v) := \frac{1}{|v|} \int_{-a}^a e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|a-x|}{|v|}} \varphi(x, v) dx, & 0 < v < 1, \\ G_\lambda^- \varphi(a, v) := \frac{1}{|v|} \int_{-a}^a e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|a+x|}{|v|}} \varphi(x, v) dx, & -1 < v < 0, \end{cases}$$



and finally, we consider

$$\begin{cases} C_\lambda : X_p \rightarrow X_p, & C_\lambda \varphi := \chi(-1, 0)C_\lambda^- \varphi + \chi(0, 1)C_\lambda^+ \varphi \quad \text{with} \\ C_\lambda^+ \varphi(x, v) := \frac{1}{|v|} \int_{-a}^x e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|x-x'|}{|v|}} \varphi(x', v) dx', & 0 < v < 1, \\ C_\lambda^- \varphi(x, v) := \frac{1}{|v|} \int_x^a e^{-\frac{(\lambda \eta_1(v) + \sigma_1(v))|x-x'|}{|v|}} \varphi(x', v) dx', & -1 < v < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\chi_{(0,1)}(\cdot)$  and  $\chi_{(-1,0)}(\cdot)$  denote the characteristic functions of the intervals  $(-1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$ , respectively. The operators  $M_\lambda$ ,  $B_\lambda$ ,  $G_\lambda$  and  $C_\lambda$  are bounded by :  $e^{-2a\mu^* Re\lambda}$ ,  $(p\mu^* Re\lambda)^{-1/p}$ ,  $(\mu^* Re\lambda)^{-1/q}$ , respectively, where  $q$  denotes the conjugate of  $p$  and  $(\mu^* Re\lambda)^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** (i) If  $\kappa_{ij}(x, v, v')$  defines a regular operator, then  $(\lambda M_1 - T_{H_1})^{-1} K_{ij}$  is compact on  $X_p$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$  and weakly compact on  $X_1$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

(ii) If  $\kappa_{ij}(x, v, v')/|v'|$  defines a regular operator, then  $K_{ij}(\lambda M_1 - T_{H_1})^{-1}$  is weakly compact on  $X_1$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ .

**Proof.** (i) This assertion was proved in [15].

(ii) The proof of this assertion is a straightforward adaption from Lemma 4.2 in [16].  $\square$

**Theorem 5.1.** If  $\kappa_{21}(x, v, v')$  (resp.  $\kappa_{21}(x, v, v')/|v'|$ ) defines a regular operator, then the operators  $F(\lambda) := (K_{21} - \lambda M_3)(A_{11} - \lambda M_1)^{-1}$  and  $G(\lambda) := (A_{11} - \lambda M_1)^{-1}(K_{12} - \lambda M_2)$  are Fredholm perturbations on  $X_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**Proof.** It follows from Remark 3.1.(ii) in [15] that there exists  $\lambda \in \rho_{M_1}(T_{H_1})$  such that

$r_\sigma((T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)^{-1} K_{11}) < 1$ . For such  $\lambda$ , the equation

$$(K_{11} + T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)\varphi = \psi$$

may be transformed into

$$((T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)^{-1} K_{11} - I)\varphi = (T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)^{-1} \psi.$$

Then, by the fact that  $r_\sigma((T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)^{-1} K_{11}) < 1$ , we obtain

$$(A_{11} - \lambda M_1)^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} [(T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)^{-1} K_{11}]^n (T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)^{-1}.$$

So,

$$F(\lambda) = K_{21} \sum_{n \geq 0} [(T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)^{-1} K_{11}]^n (T_{H_1} - \lambda M_1)^{-1} - \lambda M_3 (A_{11} - \lambda M_1)^{-1}.$$

Since  $M_3 \in \mathcal{F}(X_p)$  and the use of Lemma 5.1 allows us to conclude that  $F(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(X_p)$ .

The same reasoning allows us to prove that  $G(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(X_p)$ .  $\square$

Now, we are ready to express the  $M$ -essential spectra of two-group transport operators with general boundary conditions.

**Theorem 5.2.** *If the operators  $H_j \in \mathcal{F}(X_p)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and the operators  $K_{11}$ ,  $K_{22}$ ,  $K_{12}$  are regular and if in addition  $\kappa_{21}(x, v, v')$  (resp.  $\kappa_{21}(x, v, v')/|v'|$ ) defines a regular operator on  $X_p$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$  (resp. on  $X_1$ ), then*

$$\sigma_{e_i, M}(A_H) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq -\min(\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*)\}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 6.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda \in \rho_{M_1}(T_{H_1})$  such that  $r_\sigma(\lambda M_1 - T_{H_1})K_{11} < 1$ , then

$$(\lambda M_1 - A_{11})^{-1} - (\lambda M_1 - T_{H_1})^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 1} [(\lambda M_1 - T_{H_1})^{-1} K_{11}]^n (\lambda M_1 - T_{H_1})^{-1}.$$

Since  $K_{11}$  is regular, then it follows from Lemma 5.1 that the operator  $(\lambda M_1 - A_{11})^{-1} - (\lambda M_1 - T_{H_1})^{-1}$  is compact on  $X_p$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$  and weakly compact on  $X_1$ , the use of [15, Theorem 3.3] leads to

$$\sigma_{e_i, M_1}(A_{11}) = \sigma_{e_i, M_1}(T_{H_1}) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq -\frac{\lambda_1^*}{\mu_1^*} \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6. \quad (5.7)$$

Let  $\mu \in \rho_{M_1}(A_{11})$ . The operator  $S(\mu)$  is given by

$$S(\mu) = A_{22} - K_{21}G(\mu).$$

By Lemma 5.1, The operator  $K_{21}G(\mu)$  is compact on  $X_p$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$ , and weakly compact on  $X_1$ , then it follows from Proposition 2.2 that  $\sigma_{e_i, M_4}(S(\mu)) = \sigma_{e_i, M_4}(A_{22})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ . By the same reasoning, we have

$$\sigma_{e_i, M_4}(S(\mu)) = \sigma_{e_i, M_1}(A_{22}) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq -\frac{\lambda_2^*}{\mu_2^*} \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6. \quad (5.8)$$

Applying Theorem 4.2 and using Eqs (5.7) and (5.8), we get

$$\sigma_{e_i, M}(A_H) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq -\min\left(\frac{\lambda_1^*}{\mu_1^*}, \frac{\lambda_2^*}{\mu_2^*}\right) \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 6. \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] F.V. Atkinson, H. Langer, R. Mennicken, A.A. Shkalikov, *The essential spectrum of some matrix operators*, Math. Nachr. 167 (1994) 5–20.
- [2] N. Ben Ali, A. Jeribi, N. Moalla, *Essential spectra of some matrix operators*, Math. Nachr. 283 (9) (2010) 1245–1256.

- [3] A. Ben Amar, A. Jeribi, M. Mnif, *Some results on Fredholm and semi-Fredholm Perturbations and applications*, Arab. J. Math. 3 (2014) 313–323.
- [4] S. Charfi, A. Jeribi, On a characterization of the essential spectra of some matrix operators and application to two-group transport operators. Math. Z. 262 (4) (2009) 775–794.
- [5] S. Charfi, A. Jeribi, I. Walha, *Essential spectra, matrix operator and applications*, Acta Appl. Math. 111 (2010) 319–337.
- [6] M. Damak, A. Jeribi, *On the essential spectra of some matrix operators and application*, Elec. J. Diffe. Equa. 11 (2007) 1–16.
- [7] R. Dautray, J.L. Lions, *Analyse Mathématique et Calcul Numérique*, vol. 9, Masson, Paris, 1988.
- [8] M. Faierman, R. Mennicken, M. Möller, *A boundary eigenvalue problem for a system of partial differential operators occuring in magnetohydrodynamics*, Math. Nachr. (1995) 141–167.
- [9] I.C. Gohberg, A.S. Markus, I.A. Feldman, *Normally solvable operators and ideals associated with them*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 261 (1967) 63–84.
- [10] B. Gramsch, D. Lay, *Spectral mapping theorems for essential spectra*, Math. Ann. 192 (1971) 17–32.
- [11] W. Greenberg, C. Van Der Mee, V. Protopopescu, *Boundary Value Problems in Abstract Kinetic Theory*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1987.
- [12] K. Gustafson, *On algebraic multiplicity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 25 (1976) 769–781.
- [13] K. Gustafson, J. Weidmann, *On the essential spectrum*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 25 (1969) 121–127.
- [14] A. Jeribi, N. Moalla, I. Walha, *Spectra of some block operator matrices and application to transport operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 351 (1) (2009) 315–325.
- [15] A. Jeribi, N. Moalla, S. Yengui, *S-essential spectra and application to an example of transport operators*, MMA 37 (2012) 2341–2353.
- [16] N. Moalla, M. Dammak, A. Jeribi, *Essential spectra of some matrix operators and application to two-group transport operators with general boundary conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 323 (2006) 1071–1090.
- [17] V. Müller, *Spectral theory of linear operator and spectral system in Banach algebras*, Operator theory advance and application 139 (2003).
- [18] R. Nagel, *Well-posedness and positivity for systems of linear evolution equations*, Confer. Sem. Univ. Math. Bari. 203 (1985) 1–29.

- [19] R. Nagel, *Towards a matrix theory for unbounded operator matrices*, Math. Z. 201 (1) (1989) 57–68.
- [20] R. Nagel, *The spectrum of unbounded operator matrices with non-diagonal domain*, J. Funct. Anal. 89 (2) (1990) 291–302.
- [21] C. Tretter, *Spectral issues for block operator matrices*, In differential equations and mathematical physics (Birmingham, Al, 1999), vol. 16 of AMS-IP Stud. Adv. Math., pp 407–423. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2000).
- [22] C. Tretter, *Spectral inclusion for unbounded Block operator matrices*, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009) 3806–3829.
- [23] C. Tretter, *Spectral Theory of Block Operator Matrices and Applications*, Imperial College Press, London, 2008.
- [24] M. Schechter, *Principles of Functionnal Analysis*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [25] M. Schechter, *On the essential spectrum of an arbitrary operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 13 (1966) 205–215.
- [26] A.A. Shkalikov, *On the essential spectrum of matrix operators*, Math. Notes 58 (5-6) (1995) 1359–1362.
- [27] L. Weis, *Perturbation classes of semi-Fredholm operators*, Math. Z. 178 (1981) 429–442.

**DOI: 10.7862/rf.2021.3**

**Aref Jeribi**

email: [aref.jeribi@fss.rnu.tn](mailto:aref.jeribi@fss.rnu.tn)

ORCID: 0000-0001-6715-5996

Département de Mathématiques

Faculté des sciences de Sfax

Route de Soukra

Km 3.5, BP 1171, 3000, Sfax

TUNISIE

**Nedra Moalla**

email: [nedra.moalla@gmail.com](mailto:nedra.moalla@gmail.com)

ORCID: 0000-0002-1116-0953

Département de Mathématiques

Faculté des sciences de Sfax

Route de Soukra

Km 3.5, BP 1171, 3000, Sfax  
TUNISIE

**Sonia Yengui**

email: [sonia.yengui@ipeis.rnu.tn](mailto:sonia.yengui@ipeis.rnu.tn)

ORCID: 0000-0002-4379-2752

Département de Mathématiques

Faculté des sciences de Sfax

Route de Soukra

Km 3.5, BP 1171, 3000, Sfax

TUNISIE

*Received 28.11.20219*

*Accepted 17.07.2020*