EVALUATION OF THE EFFICIENCY OF AN NOISE BARRIER ALONG THE RAILWAY LINE RZESZOW-MEDYKA

The noise is generated by trains due to the operation of the engine, the wheels rolling on rails and train aerodynamics. In order to reduce rail noise one can distinguish passive and active measures aimed at reducing noise. The passive noise protection measures include railway noise barriers and insulated windows. In this article the efficiency of noise barriers along the railway line Kraków - Medyka in Debica has been discussed. The test screen with a length of about 590m and a height of 3m located on the embankment height of 4m protects single-family housing residents against excessive influence of railway noise. The efficiency of the test screen depending on the type of the train and the track it is moving on is in the range between 4 - 17 dB.
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1. Introduction

According to Directorate-General Internal Policies twelve mln residents of the UE are exposed to noise generated by trains, above 55dB level during the day and nine mln is exposed to noise above 50dB at night. It should be added that the listed values of the EU population exposed to noise are higher, because the initiative of the European Environment Agency concerns agglomerations of more than 250 000 inhabitants and the main railway line, which runs more than 60 000 trains per year [9]. The noise is a harmful phenomenon which causes noise pollution and negatively affects people exposed to it [4]. The noise is generated by trains due to the operation of the engine, the wheels rolling...
on rails and train aerodynamics[3]. In order to determine the acoustic climate in the near of railways performed researches about $L_{AeqD}$ and $L_{AeqN}$. Such researches are described in [2]. Sound level $L_{AeqD}$ and $L_{AeqN}$ can be calculated by acoustic map. Acoustic maps are also used to predict the noise. The obligation to establish noise maps in Poland, it was introduced in [11]. The process of creating noise maps and their analysis are discussed in [1]. By well done acoustic map we can select a good noise barriers and their locations. In [5] shows the noise barriers used along railways in Germany (screens with small height) and in France. Examples noise barriers with small height have been shown in [6].

In order to reduce rail noise one can distinguish passive and active measures aimed at reducing noise[10]. The passive noise protection measures include railway noise barriers and insulated windows. The aim of the paper is the efficiency of noise barriers along the railway line Kraków - Medyka in Debica has been discussed.

2. Description of the test screen

An absorbing screen has been studied. The length of the screen is equal to 590 m and the height is equal to 4m. The screen under discussion is located along the railway line Rzeszow – Medyka. The tracks are located on the embankment and have a height of 4m. Single-family houses are protected by absorbing noise barriers. The screen which is located on the viaduct is a reflective screen.

Fig. 1. Noise barrier view (in the direction of Rzeszow)
Rys. 1. Widok bariery akustycznej (w kierunku Rzeszowa)
3. Experimental evaluation of the screen efficiency

The evaluation of the efficiency has been made by indirect method in accordance with [7] and the requirements specified in [8]. Estimated sound pressure level „before” installing the screen was designated in a place which is the equivalent of the research space. This area has been chosen in accordance with the guidelines given in [7].

3.1. Measuring apparatus

Equipment Brüel & Kjær has been used for acoustic testing: two-channel, manual meter type 2270, microphones types 4189 with guards against winds AU-0237, AU-1650 and microphone stands (Fig. 3). The weather conditions have been measured by weather station HOBO U30-NRC equipped with anemometer, hygrometer, thermometer and recorder.
3.2. Measurement points and schemes

The Area of study, the location of measurement points and schemes have been shown in Fig. 4.

Measurements have been carried out in two schemes: scheme I – measured point has been situated 13 m away from the screen area and 3 m above the ground level, reference point has been situated 0.90 m above the upper screen edge, scheme II – to determine sound pressure level „before” installing the screen in a place which is equivalent of the research area, location reference point and reception point were the same as in scheme I. This area has been chosen in accordance with the guidelines given in [7].

Position microphones have been presented in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 4. Area of study](image1)

**Fig. 4. Area of study**

*Rys. 4. Obszar badań*

![Fig. 5. Arrangement of microphones in both measurement schemes](image2)

**Fig. 5. Arrangement of microphones in both measurement schemes**

*Rys. 5. Rozmieszczenie mikrofonów w obydwu schematach pomiarów*
3.3. Results of the measurements

The maximum temperature which was recorded during the tests was equal to 31°C and the minimum was equal to 25 °C. The relative air humidity was within range 37% to 62%, the wind speed was no more than 2.2 m/s. At 1/3-octave bands the sound pressure level was being recorded. The characteristic correction „A” was used. Sound pressure at reference and reception points was being synchronised. Examples of noise spectrum at reference and reception points have been shown in Fig. 6. The measured background noise level was lower by about 15 dB of registered train traffic. According to [7] in this case the impact of background noise has been omitted.

\[
L_j = 10\log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{21} 10^{0.1L_{ij}} \right)
\]

where: \(L_i\) – equivalent sound level
\(L_{ij}\) – sound level in 1/3-octave band sound for the event.
The values of equivalent sound levels at individual measurement points for the individual measurements have been shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Equivalent sound levels on [dB] for each measurement scheme**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of measurement</th>
<th>Train type</th>
<th>Track number</th>
<th>Protected area</th>
<th>Comparative area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L_{ref,A} [dB]</td>
<td>L_{r,A} [dB]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>long-distance</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>88.36</td>
<td>71.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>84.82</td>
<td>61.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>goods</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>76.39</td>
<td>63.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>long-distance</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>82.04</td>
<td>57.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td>54.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>long-distance</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>79.63</td>
<td>55.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>73.23</td>
<td>50.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>73.15</td>
<td>50.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>long-distance</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>88.64</td>
<td>64.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Differences between sound levels at the reference point and the reception point have been calculated and the results have been shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Efficiency of a noise barrier**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of measurement</th>
<th>Train type</th>
<th>Track number</th>
<th>Sound level difference</th>
<th>Acoustic efficiency (D_{IL}) [dB]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ΔL_{A} [dB]</td>
<td>ΔL_{B} [dB]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>long-distance</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>16.77</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>goods</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>long-distance</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>18.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>24.02</td>
<td>19.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>long-distance</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>24.36</td>
<td>18.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>23.04</td>
<td>18.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>local</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>long-distance</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>24.46</td>
<td>7.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7 - Fig. 10 present a comparison of the sound spectra of the same type of trains traveling along the same path.
Fig. 7. I and IX measurement on the track I – long distance train
Rys. 7. I i IX pomiar na torze I – pociąg dalekobieżny

Fig. 8. IV and VI measurement on the track I – long distance train
Rys. 8. IV i VI pomiar na torze I – pociąg dalekobieżny
Fig. 9. II and VIII measurement on the track I – local train
Rys. 9. II i VIII pomiar na torze I – pociąg lokalny

Fig. 10. V and VII measurement on the track I – local train
Rys. 10. V i VII pomiar na torze I – pociąg lokalny
4. Summary and conclusions

The efficiency of noise barrier along the railway line Rzeszow – Medyka has been discussed in this paper. The following conclusions have been drawn based on the research:

- the efficiency of the noise barrier for trains going on rails number I for local trains is within range 15dB – 16dB,
- the efficiency of the noise barrier for trains going on rails number II for local and long distance trains is within range 4dB – 6dB,
- the efficiency of the noise barrier for long-distance trains going on the rails I is significantly different. It may result from the disturbances of train no I, such as braking, technical condition or the length of the train,
- the difference between efficiency evaluated for the same type of trains and going along on the same path is lower than 1dB, the exception is the difference between efficiency for the train I and IX.

Experimentally determined screen efficiency for track II is more important and it determines the efficiency of the noise barrier for a given measurement point location. As expected, the efficiency of the noise barrier calculated for track II is lower than efficiency for track I. Reduced efficiency for track II is due remoteness of the source of the noise barrier which results in:

- reduces the range of acoustic shadow,
- unfavorable change in the angle of deflection of the acoustic wave on the upper edge of the barrier,
- increasing the impact of the phenomenon of curvature of the sound wave to the ground

On the basis of the sound spectra shown in Fig. 7-10 it is clear that the sound spectra for the trains of the same class going on the same path are similar to each other (the exception is the sound spectrum for train I and IX). The difference between individual spectra proves different length and technical conditions of going trains. The results presented in the above paper refer exclusively to a given noise barrier and measurement location. Further research will be carried out in order to allow for precise mapping of the acoustic field behind the presented screen.
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Streszczenie

Hałas generowany przez pociągi pochodzi od pracującego silnika, toczących się kół oraz zjawisk aerodynamicznych. W celu ograniczenia hałasu kolejowego stosować możemy bierne i czynne środki redukujące hałas. Do bieżących działań na rzecz uprawdzania akustycznych ekranów. Przedstawiamy w niniejszym artykule zabezpieczenia przed hałasem kolejowym w postaci ekranów akustycznych. Omawiane ekran zlokalizowany jest wzdłuż linii kolejowej Rzeszów – Medyka w miejscowości Dębica. Omawiany ekran o długości około 590m i wysokości 3m znajduje się na nasypie o wysokości 4m. Zadaniem badanego ekranu jest ochrona mieszkańców jednorodzin przed nadmiernym hałasem kolejowym. Skuteczność bieżącego ekranu w zależności od rodzaju pociągu i nr toru, po którym się poruszał mieści się w przedziale od 4 dB do 17 dB.
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